

Categorical Data Analysis: HW 4

1. In class, we showed that random variables following normal, Poisson and Bernoulli distributions are members of the exponential family. What about the binomial? Since the binomial mean is $n_i\pi_i$ and we don't want the n_i appearing in the mean, we need to look at the success *proportions*. So, let $n_i Y_i$ have a binomial(n_i, π_i) distribution, where Y_i is now the success proportion out of n_i Bernoulli trials.

- (a) Show that the distribution of Y_i belongs to the exponential family and find the expressions for θ_i , $b(\theta_i)$, $a(\phi_i)$ and $c(y_i; \phi_i)$. Hint: $P(Y_i = y_i) = P(n_i Y_i = n_i y_i) = \binom{n_i}{n_i y_i} \pi_i^{n_i y_i} (1 - \pi_i)^{n_i - n_i y_i}$ (since $n_i Y_i$ is binomial and all you have to do is to write this in exponential family form.)
- (b) Find the expression for the deviance under this setup.

2. In class, we showed that the likelihood equation for independent Bernoulli(π_i) random components in a GLM with link $g(\pi_i) = \eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{ij}$ have the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \pi_i) x_{ij} = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Find the likelihood equation when fitting a GLM to Poisson random components with mean μ_i and link function $g(\mu_i) = \eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_{ij}$.

3. Refer to the logit model $\text{logit}(\pi_i) = \alpha + \beta x_i$ for the success probability of independent Binomial responses $Y_i \sim \text{Bin}(n_i, \pi_i)$.

- (a) Write down the log-likelihood under this model and find the sufficient statistics for α and β . Find the expected values of the sufficient statistics under the model.
- (b) Set up the likelihood equations and show that the likelihood equations for the logit model equate the sufficient statistics for α and β to their expected values (as in any GLM with canonical link).
- (c) Show that the information matrix for (α, β) does not depend on y_i . (Hence, the observed information matrix (the Hessian) equals the expected (Fisher) information matrix, as in any GLM with canonical link.)

4. Refer to the Horseshoe crab data set analyzed in class.

- (a) Using just a crabs carapace *width* as a predictor for having satellites, fit a logistic regression model and interpret the parameter associated with width.
- (b) Give the results of an appropriate test that tests if width is a significant predictor.
- (c) Give and interpret a 95% confidence interval for the effect of width.

- (d) Plot the original 0-1 responses versus width and overlay the fitted logistic response curve.
- (e) Predict the probability of having a satellite for a crab of mean width (i.e., a crab whose width equals the observed mean width).
- (f) Obtain a 95% confidence interval for the probability of having a satellite for a crab of mean width.
- (g) In the plot from part (d), include (pointwise) confidence limits for the probability of having a satellite.
- (h) Check goodness of fit by forming 8 width intervals. I actually found the R command `cut` that can do this quite efficiently and generate the table of the number of successes and failures in each width category:

```
> width1=cut(width, breaks = c(min(width)-1, 23.25, 24.25, 25.25,
26.25, 27.25, 28.25, 29.25, max(width)+1))
> table(width1,sat)
```

width1	sat	
	FALSE	TRUE
(20,23.2]	9	5
(23.2,24.2]	10	4
(24.2,25.2]	11	17
(25.2,26.2]	18	21
(26.2,27.2]	7	15
(27.2,28.2]	4	20
(28.2,29.2]	3	15
(29.2,34.5]	0	14

For each width category, find the estimated proportion of having a satellite at the midpoint of the interval using the fitted model, and then compare observed and fitted values using the X^2 or G^2 statistic.

- (i) Add spine condition and color to the model (treat both as categorical). Test if spine condition is really needed in the model.
- (j) Refer to the model that includes width and color. Plot the estimated probabilities of having a satellite versus width, for each color.
- (k) Find the confidence interval for the odds of having a satellite for dark (color=4) versus medium dark(color=3) crabs of the same weight.